Complete Summary

TITLE

Medical practice satisfaction: mean section score for "Your Care Provider" questions on Medical Practice Survey.

SOURCE(S)

Medical Practice Survey. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2001. 2 p.

The Medical Practice Revision: practice compass. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 1999. 10 p.

Brief Abstract

DESCRIPTION

This measure assesses the mean score for the questions in the "Your Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey.

This measure is a component of a composite measure; it can also be used on its own.

RATIONALE

1. Patient satisfaction is both an indicator of quality of care, and a component of quality care.

   In 2001, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) advocated a patient-centered model of care (Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century). In part, this is a reflection of the growing understanding that

   > "...patients constantly judge the motives and competence of caregivers through their interaction with them. This judgment is a very personal one, based on perceptions of care being responsive to patients' "individual needs," rather than to any universal code of standards (McGlynn, 1997). When these individual needs are perceived as being met, better care results. Lohr (1997) notes: "Inferior care results when health professionals lack full mastery of their clinical areas or cannot communicate effectively and compassionately." In short, when patients perceive motives, communication, empathy, and clinical judgment positively, they will respond more positively to care...Sobel (1995) claims that improved communication and

interaction between caregiver and patient improves actual outcome. Donabedian (1988) notes that "...the interpersonal process is the vehicle by which technical care is implemented and on which its success depends" (from Press [2002] Patient Satisfaction: Defining, Measuring, and Improving the Experience of Care, Health Administration Press).

It is clear that patients quite actively evaluate what is happening to them during the experience of care. The degree to which the patient judges the care experience as satisfactory "...is not only an indicator of the quality of care, but a component of quality care, as well" (Press, 2002).

2. In addition to its connection to quality of care and clinical outcomes, Patient Satisfaction has been linked to the following:
    - Healthcare employee satisfaction and retention
    - Healthcare facility competitive market strength
    - Hospital profitability
    - Risk management (likelihood of being sued)

PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Medical practice satisfaction

DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

Patients with an outpatient visit during the reporting period who answered at least one question in the "Your Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey. Deceased patients are excluded from sampling.

NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

The means of all the patients' scores for the "Your Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey

Evidence Supporting the Measure

PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Patient Experience

SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Not applicable

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE MEASURE

A formal consensus procedure involving experts in relevant clinical, methodological, and organizational sciences
A systematic review of the clinical literature

One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Unspecified

State of Use of the Measure

STATE OF USE

Current routine use

CURRENT USE

Internal quality improvement

Application of Measure in its Current Use

CARE SETTING

Physician Group Practices/Clinics

PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Advanced Practice Nurses
Physician Assistants
Physicians

LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Individual Clinicians

TARGET POPULATION AGE

Unspecified

TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Unspecified

UTILIZATION

Unspecified

COSTS

Unspecified

Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM CARE NEED

End of Life Care
Getting Better
Living with Illness
Staying Healthy

IOM DOMAIN

Patient-centeredness

Data Collection for the Measure

CASE FINDING

Users of care only

DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

All patients with an outpatient visit during the reporting period

DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Encounter

DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions
Patients with an outpatient visit during the reporting period who answered at least one question in the "Your Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey

Exclusions
Deceased patients are excluded from sampling.

NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions
The means of all the patients' scores for the "Your Care Provider" section of the Medical Practice Survey

Exclusions
Unspecified

DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a fixed period of time

NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Encounter or point in time

DATA SOURCE

Administrative data and patient survey

LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Individual Case

PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

Computation of the Measure

SCORING

Continuous Variable

INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

Better quality is associated with a higher score

ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Unspecified

STANDARD OF COMPARISON

External comparison of time trends
Internal time comparison

Evaluation of Measure Properties

EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

This measure underwent a revision in 1999. Focus groups were conducted as well as structured conference calls with clients across the country to review the revised set of questions. In addition, a Client Advisory Committee (CAC), a committee of 18 Medical Practice clients, was formed to establish the face, content, and consensus validities of the revised questions on the questionnaire. The questionnaire was then tested with the assistance of 85 physician offices with 270 care providers across five states. Both single and multispecialty practices were included, serving urban and rural patients. This variety of settings allows for greater generalizability of results from this study compared to other surveys in use today. The resulting revised Medical Practice instrument was found to be psychometrically sound across a wide variety of tests of validity and reliability. Refer to the original measure documentation (The Medical Practice Revision: Practice Compass) for further details.

EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

Drain M. Quality improvement in primary care and the importance of patient perceptions. J Ambulatory Care Manage 2001 Apr;24(2):30-46. PubMed

The Medical Practice Revision: practice compass. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 1999. 10 p.

Identifying Information

ORIGINAL TITLE

Medical Practice Survey, Your Care Provider.

COMPOSITE MEASURE NAME

Medical practice satisfaction: overall facility rating score on Medical Practice Survey

DEVELOPER

Press Ganey Associates, Inc.

ADAPTATION

This measure was not adapted from another source.

RELEASE DATE

1990 Jan

REVISION DATE

1999 Jan

MEASURE STATUS

This is the current release of the measure.

SOURCE(S)

Medical Practice Survey. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 2001. 2 p.

The Medical Practice Revision: practice compass. South Bend (IN): Press Ganey Associates, Inc.; 1999. 10 p.

MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Medical Practice Survey, Your Care Provider," is published in the "Medical Practice Survey."

For further information, contact: 404 Columbia Place, South Bend, Indiana 46601; telephone: 800-232-8032; fax: 574-232-3485; e-mail: pmiceli@pressganey.com; Web site: www.pressganey.com.

NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on March 27, 2003. The information was verified by Press Ganey Associates on April 17, 2003.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

© PRESS GANEY ASSOCIATES, INC. All Rights Reserved

All inquiries regarding the measure should be directed to the Press Ganey Web site or e-mail Penny J. Miceli, Ph.D. at pmiceli@pressganey.com.

© 2004 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 10/25/2004