



Complete Summary

TITLE

Coronary artery disease: percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty rate.

SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to inpatient quality indicators: quality of care in hospitals -- volume, mortality, and utilization [revision 2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003 Sep 4. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0204).

Brief Abstract

DESCRIPTION

This measure assesses the number of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) procedures per 100,000 population.

RATIONALE

Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) is performed on patients with coronary artery disease. No ideal rate for PTCA has been established. PTCA has been identified as a potentially overused procedure; therefore, more average rates represent better quality care.

PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Coronary artery disease; percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty

DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

Population in Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or county, age 40 years or older

NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Number of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) procedures in any procedure field among patients age 40 years or older. Patients with Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, puerperium) and MDC 15 (newborns or other neonates) are excluded.

Evidence Supporting the Measure

PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Process

SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Not applicable

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE MEASURE

One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Wide variation in quality for the performance measured

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to inpatient quality indicators: quality of care in hospitals -- volume, mortality, and utilization [revision 2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003 Sep 4. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0204).

State of Use of the Measure

STATE OF USE

Current routine use

CURRENT USE

Internal quality improvement
Quality of care research

Application of Measure in its Current Use

CARE SETTING

Hospitals

PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Physicians

LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Counties or Cities

TARGET POPULATION AGE

Age greater than or equal to 40 years

TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Unspecified

UTILIZATION

Unspecified

COSTS

Unspecified

Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness

Data Collection for the Measure

CASE FINDING

Both users and nonusers of care

DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

All individuals age 40 years or older in a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or county

DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME

Geographically defined

DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Patient Characteristic

DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

All individuals 40 years and older in geographic areas defined at the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) level for urban areas and the county level for rural areas

Exclusions

Unspecified

NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

Number of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasties (PTCA) in any procedure field among all discharges age 40 years or older (see Appendix A of the original measure documentation for International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM] codes)

Exclusions

Patients with Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium) and MDC 15 (newborns and other neonates) are excluded.

DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a single point in time

NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Institutionalization

DATA SOURCE

Administrative data

LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Not Individual Case

OUTCOME TYPE

Unspecified

PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

Computation of the Measure

SCORING

Rate

INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

Better quality is associated with a score falling within a defined interval

ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Analysis by subgroup (stratification on patient factors)

DESCRIPTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Observed (raw) rates may be stratified by areas (Metropolitan Statistical Areas or counties), age groups, race/ethnicity categories, and sex.

Risk adjustment of the data is recommended using, at minimum, age and sex.

Application of multivariate signal extraction (MSX) to smooth risk adjusted rates is also recommended.

STANDARD OF COMPARISON

External comparison at a point in time
External comparison of time trends
Internal time comparison

Evaluation of Measure Properties

EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

Each potential quality indicator was evaluated against the following six criteria, which were considered essential for determining the reliability and validity of a quality indicator: face validity, precision, minimum bias, construct validity, fosters real quality improvement, and application. The project team searched Medline for articles relating to each of these six areas of evaluation. Additionally, extensive

empirical testing of all potential indicators was conducted using the 1995-97 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID) and Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) to determine precision, bias, and construct validity. Table 1 in the original measure documentation summarizes the results of the literature review and empirical evaluations on the Inpatient Quality Indicators. Refer to the original measure documentation for details.

EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to inpatient quality indicators: quality of care in hospitals -- volume, mortality, and utilization [revision 2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003 Sep 4. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0204).

Identifying Information

ORIGINAL TITLE

Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty rate.

MEASURE COLLECTION

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Quality Indicators](#)

MEASURE SET NAME

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Inpatient Quality Indicators](#)

DEVELOPER

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

ADAPTATION

Measure was not adapted from another source.

RELEASE DATE

2002 Jun

REVISION DATE

2003 Sep

MEASURE STATUS

Please note: This measure has been updated. The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse is working to update this summary.

SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to inpatient quality indicators: quality of care in hospitals -- volume, mortality, and utilization [revision 2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003 Sep 4. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0204).

MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty Rate," is published in "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Guide to Inpatient Quality Indicators: Quality of Care in Hospitals -- Volume, Mortality, and Utilization." This document is available in [Portable Document Format \(PDF\)](#) and a [zipped WordPerfect\(R\) file](#) from the [Quality Indicators](#) page at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Web site.

For more information, please contact the QI Support Team at support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov.

COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- "AHRQ Inpatient Quality Indicators Software (Version 2.1 Revision 2)" (Rockville, [MD]: AHRQ, 2003 Sept 4) and its accompanying documentation can be downloaded from the [Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Web site](#). (The software is available in SPSS- and SAS-compatible formats.)
- Guidance for using the AHRQ quality indicators for hospital-level public reporting or payment. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2004 Aug. 24 p. This document is available from the [AHRQ Web site](#).
- "AHRQ Inpatient Quality Indicators - Interpretative Guide" (Irving [TX]: Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council Data Initiative; 2002 Aug 1. 9 p.) is available. This guide helps you to understand and interpret the results derived from the application of the Inpatient Quality Indicators software to your own data and is available from the [AHRQ Web site](#).
- "Refinement of the HCUP Quality Indicators" (Rockville [MD]: AHRQ, 2001 May. Various pagings. [Technical review; no. 4]; AHRQ Publication No. 01-0035) is available. This document was prepared by the UCSF-Stanford Evidence-based Practice Center for AHRQ and can be downloaded from the [AHRQ Web site](#).

NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on December 4, 2002. The information was verified by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality on December 26, 2002. This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI on April 7, 2004.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No copyright restrictions apply.

© 2004 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 10/25/2004

FIRSTGOV

